

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/437,496	INOUE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phuoc H. Nguyen	2143	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Phuoc H. Nguyen.

(3) _____.

 (2) Phillip J. Articola Reg. No. 38,819.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 February 2006
Time: 10:30AM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed: _____

Claims discussed:

1, 15, 17, and 18-20

Prior art documents discussed:

Wicks U.S. Pat. 5,796,394 and Schwartz U.S. Pat. 6,243,739
Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed that to incorporate dependent claim 3 into the independents claims 1 and 19, and cancel others independent claims would place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant's representative (Phillip Articola) agreed and authorized for the Examiner for performing the Examiner Amendment.